

L

The Catholic University of Eastern Africa

TITLE		AUTHOR		
PROCEDUREFOR DOCTORAL CANDID IN THEOLOGY	ACY	DEAN, FACULTY OF THEOLOGY		
(CUEA/DVC ACA /THEO/01)		NO. OF APPENDICES:		
		TWO (2)		
		7.1 – 7.2		
AUTHORIZATION This Standard Operating Procedure is issued un-	der the au	uthority of:		
TITLE		DEPUTY VICE CHANCELLOR ACADEMIC AFFAIRS		
SIGNATURE	Shime			
DATE		16 JANUARY 2019		
ISSUEDATE		16 JANUARY 2019		
STAMP CONTROLLED / UNCONTROLLED	CONTROLLED			
NOTE: 1. Write amendments on the page provided (Clause 0.2) 2. Controlled copies of this document will be in the Dean's office				

	02		16 L 0010
Revision	03	Date	16 Jan 2019



Title

PROCEDUREFOR DOCTORAL CANDIDACY IN THEOLOGY

0. CONTENTS AND RECORD OF CHANGES

0.1	Table of Contents	
0.	Contents And Record of Changes	.2
1.0	Purpose	.2
2.0	Scope	.2
3.0	References	.3
4.0	Definition of Terms	.3
5.0	Principal Responsibilities	.3
6.0	Method	
7.0	Appendices	.7
7.1	Context	.7
7.1.1	External / Internal Factors	.7
7.1.2	Relevant Interested Parties	.7
7.2	Risk Analysis And Control	.8

0.2 Record of Changes

No.	Date	Details	of Changes	Authorization	
	(dd-mm-yy)	Page	Clause/subclause	Title	
1.	16-01-2019	All	Review of procedure to adhere to ISO 9001:2015	Dean, Theo	

0.3 Distribution / Circulation

This quality management procedure is available on CUEA servers for authorized users

1.0 PURPOSE

The procedure aims at preparing candidates capable of making original contributions to the various areas of theology.

2.0 SCOPE

This procedure applies to all doctoral students in the Faculty of Theology.

Revision	03	Date	16 Jan 2019



Title

3.0 **REFERENCES**

The procedure makes reference to the document indicated below:

- 3.1 Sapientia Christiana
- 3.2 Ex Corde Ecclesiae
- 3.3 Faculty of Theology: Programme of Studies Ecclesiastical/canonical degrees

4.0 **DEFINITION OF TERMS**

- 4.1 HOD Head of Department
- 4.2 FAB Faculty Academic Board
- 4.3 FEB Faculty Examination Board

5.0 PRINCIPAL RESPONSIBILITIES

The Dean shall be responsible for the adequacy and effective implementation of this procedure.

6.0 METHOD

- 6.1 The candidate shall apply for admission to the Faculty of Theology at CUEA where his/her documents shall be sent to the relevant department.
- 6.2 The Department shall consider the qualifications and other admission criteria.
- 6.2.1 If the applicant does not meet requirements for admission, he/she shall be informed of the outcome.
- 6.2.2 If the applicant meets requirements, he/she shall be admitted.
- 6.3 The student shall take the required course work.
- 6.4 After successfully completing the course work, the student shall propose his/her topic and prepare a public lecture which will be submitted to the Departmental Academic Board.

Revision	03	Date	16 Jan 2019



- 6.4.1 If the Departmental Academic Board is not satisfied, the student shall make the recommended corrections.
- 6.4.2 If the Board is satisfied, the HOD shall write a letterto the Chairperson of the Doctoral Committee stating that the student is ready for a public lecture and Chairperson of the Doctoral Committee shall inform the Director of Research, Innovation and Graduate Training immediately.
- 6.5 The Director of Research, Innovation and Graduate Training shall communicate the date and the place of the public lecture to the student and the CUEA community within one week.
- 6.6 The student shall deliver a public lecture before a panel of examiners constituted of 2 lecturers under the direction of the chairperson of the Doctoral Committee.
- 6.7 The Panel of examiners shall compile their observations and results and present them to the chairperson immediately.
- 6.8 The Doctoral committee shall present the results to the FEB, after which the Registrar shall transmit the same to the student. In case a student has failed, he/she shall be referred to the Departmental Academic Board.
- 6.9 After the public lecture, the student shall receive the observations of the panel and make corrections and adjustments pointed out with the help of the Departmental Academic Board within one month.
- 6.10 The student shall then prepare a topic for dissertation proposal which shall be presented the Departmental Academic Board. Upon satisfactory presentation to the DAB by the student, the HOD shall write to the Chairperson of the Doctoral Committee requesting him to organize for presentation and defense of the proposal of the dissertation in the Doctoral Committee.
- 6.10.1 If the committee is not satisfied, the student shall be sent back to the department for more help and then back to the committee.
- 6.10.2 If the committee is satisfied, the chairperson shall present the topic and the supervisors proposed by the candidate for approval by FAB.
- 6.11 The Director of Research, Innovation and Graduate Training shall communicate the approval to the student and the supervisors within three weeks after the FAB meeting.

Revision	03	Date	16 Jan 2019



- 6.12 Supervisors shall exchange their written comments and submit copies of the same to the student, the HOD, the chairperson of the Doctoral Committee and to the Dean.
- 6.13 After the last chapter has been written and corrected, the supervisors can allow the student to make bound draft copies of the whole dissertation for last corrections. After the corrections, the supervisors may allow the student to make the final copies.
- 6.14 The candidate shall submit five (5) copies of the dissertation each containing an abstract to the Dean. The period between the submission and the defense shall not exceed three months.
- 6.15 The Dean, in consultation with the HOD, shall appoint the external reader.
- 6.16 The Dean shall dispatch the copies to the supervisors and to the external reader for assessment.
- 6.17 Each of the three readers shall submit their independent evaluations and grade to the Dean's office.
- 6.18 At the invitation of the Director of Research, Innovation and Graduate Training, the candidate shall defend his/her dissertation in public, before a panel of examiners consisting of the chairperson of the Doctoral Committee (Moderator), the two supervisors and the external reader.
- 6.19 The three examiners shall give a joint evaluation and grade of the public defense after the defense exercise.
- 6.20 The chairperson shall present the final grade to the FEB for approval before it is forwarded to the Registrar's office.
- 6.21 The student will be required to have published two (2) articles before public defense.
- 6.21 After a successful defense, the candidate shall be required to publish either a part or the whole dissertation before being issued with the doctoral certificate, taking into account the remarks of the supervisors and the external reader.
- 6.22 The Excerpt or the whole dissertation shall get a *Nihil Obstat* and *Imprimatur* from the relevant ecclesiastical authority before it is published.

Revision	03	Date	16 Jan 2019



- 6.23 The candidate shall submit twenty (20) published copies to the Dean of the Faculty before he/she receives the certificate from the Registrar's office.
- 6.24 Records of all the activities shall be maintained.

Revision	03	Date	16 Jan 2019



Title

PROCEDUREFOR DOCTORAL CANDIDACY IN THEOLOGY

7.0 **APPENDICES**

7.1 CONTEXT

7.1.1 External / Internal Factors

(a)	External Factors	Identified Risk / Opportunity
(i)	Political	Political instability
		Political influence on industry
(ii)	Social	Changes in social factors affects the university e.g
		• Value system
		• Impunity
		Unstable societal demands
(iii)	Technological	Technological advancement
		• Resource and skilled manpower for technology use
		Demand for innovation
(iv)	Legal	Dynamic legal infrastructure
(v)	Economical	• Inflation
		• High cost of living
(b)	Internal Factors	Identified Risk / Opportunity
(i)	Culture	Diverse backgrounds
(ii)	Strengths	Qualified students
		Qualified staff
(iii)	Weaknesses	• Some students take long to complete their dissertation writing
(iv)	Opportunities	Conducive environment
		Availability of Doctoral students
		Support from Bishops and Superiors/Sponsors
(v)	Threats	Competition from other academic institutions

7.1.2 Relevant Interested Parties

	Party	Needs and Expectations
(i)	Doctoral students	Quality education
(ii)	Bishops/Superiors/Sponsors	Adequate resources
		• Completion of studies at stipulated time
		Quality education

Revision	03	Date	January 2019

THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF LASTERN APRICE	Standard Operating Procedure	CUEA/DVC ACA/THEO/01
Title	PROCEDUREFOR DOCTORAL CANDIDACY IN THEOLOGY	Page 8 of 8

7.2 RISK ANALYSIS AND CONTROL

No	Risk	Cause	Risk Assessment		Risk	Control / Treatment	
			Likelihood	Impact	Likelihood / Impact	Level	
1.	Timely completion of studies	Delays in dissertation writing	1	1	1	Low	Encourage students to complete dissertation writing at stipulated time

Revision	03	Date	January 2019